UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,610	02/27/2004	Roy K. Lim	4002-3438	9038	
52196 KRIEG DEVA	7590 12/10/200 ULT LLP	8	EXAMINER		
	A SQUARE, SUITE 28	00	SWIGER III, JAMES L		
INDIANAPOL	13, IIN 40204-2709	IN 46204-2709 ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER	
			3775		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,610	LIM, ROY K.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JAMES L. SWIGER III	3775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stating Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Apply be timely filed  FHS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	This action is non-final.  Wance except for formal matte		s
Disposition of Claims			
4)	drawn from consideration. s/are rejected. cted to.		
· · · <u>_</u>			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 2/27/2004 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 42, 44, 46-49, 50, 55, 58 and 60, 68-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koros et al. (US Patent Number 5,273,519). Koros et al. disclose an apparatus for connecting to an orthopedic implant comprising a base comprising an upper base portion and a lower base portion (see various embodiments of figures 2, 19 and 23) and having a relatively forward end and a relatively rearward a slidable shaft, e.g. 30, connected to the base, wherein the shaft 30 includes an elongated portion, e.g. 172, a pivotable plate, e.g. 20 having an opening, e.g. 21, a sleeve, e.g. 25, 26 and 27, at least partially surrounding the shaft and being slidable along the shaft 30, a ratchet mechanism (see figures 10 and 11) connected to the base and the sleeve 25/26/27, a stop connected to the base comprising at least one paw, e.g. 66 that is pivotable between at least one position connected to the ratchet mechanism and the position disengaged from the ratchet mechanism and a handle, e.g. 10, 11, 50 including a actuator, e.g. 40, movably/pivotably connected to the base, wherein the actuator operates the ratchet mechanism when the actuator is moved relative to the base. The handle further comprises a rigid stock, e.g. 10.

With regard to the statement of intended use and other functional statements, they do not impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Koros et al., which is capable of being used as claimed if one so desires to do so. *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 43, 45, 51-54, 56 and 57 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 3-31, 59 and 61-65, 66-67 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 12/20/2007, with respect to claims 42, 44, 50, 55, 58, 60 have been fully considered but were not found persuasive. It is held that the prior art still reads on the claimed invention.

It is further noted that claims 46-49 and 68-70 were incorrectly indicated as being allowable, however these depend from claims that are currently rejected; their allowability is removed in view of the rejections above.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,610 Page 4

Art Unit: 3775

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES L. SWIGER III whose telephone number is (571)272-5557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JAMES L SWIGER/
Examiner, Art Unit 3775
/Eduardo C. Robert/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733